

Needle in the fascial plane



Catheter in the fascial plane



Figure 1. Ultrasound visualization of the continuous ESP block. The image shows the N (left) and the C (right) in the fascial plane between the ESM and TP. C indicates catheter; ESM, erector spinae muscle; ESP, erector spinae plane; N, needle; TP, transverse process.

She was pain free for the next 6 hours which had not occurred since the recurrence. The following day, a catheter (Contiplex echo, B Braun Medical Inc) was inserted into the ESP at T5–6 level and the catheter was tunneled and sutured to the skin (Figures 1 and 2). The catheter was connected to a pump which was programmed to deliver 0.2% ropivacaine at 10 mL/h for the first 3 hours and then reduced to 5 mL/h for the remaining period with a lockout bolus of 10 mL every hour as required. Dermatomal testing to pinprick showed a sensory blockade from T2 to T10 (anteriorly and posteriorly) on the left side. The NRS with movement in the next 5 days was 1. During the course of the next 5 days, her morphine requirements were reduced drastically. The intravenous infusion rate of morphine was reduced to 5 mg/h without any need for rescue morphine. The fifth day after catheter placement, the intravenous morphine was discontinued. Methadone was increased to 15 mg 3 times a day to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The Edmonton Symptom Assessment System (revised version)³ was used for evaluation and it showed an improvement (on a scale of 10) in the following components: pain from 10 to 1, tiredness from 7 to 5, nausea from 10 to 0, lack of appetite from 10 to 0, shortness of breath from 10 to 0, and sleep from 5 to 0. While her chest wall pain was successfully controlled, she then complained of pain in the epigastric region likely due to the widespread metastatic disease. An extra bolus of 30 mL of 0.2% ropivacaine helped to control the epigastric pain and she was offered another ESP catheter at a lower site for pain control. The patient opted to cope with the epigastric pain instead as it was not as intense as the previous uncontrolled chest wall pain. She was discharged with an indwelling ESP catheter at an infusion rate of 0.2% ropivacaine 10 mL/h. The patient required readmission to the hospital 7 days after due to disease progression and deterioration. Her chest wall pain was well controlled for the subsequent 6 weeks when she finally succumbed to her illness.

DISCUSSION

Cancer pain is a difficult and complex condition to manage and treat. The disability cancer brings to patients' lives

is significant and variable and impacts various aspects of general health. Providing adequate pain relief improves the quality of life of these patients. The World Health Organization analgesic ladder can be successful in achieving adequate pain control in 80%–90% of patients with cancer pain.⁴ The remaining 10%–20% need an effective and alternate plan. Up to 80% of patients taking opioids for cancer will experience unwanted side effects which include opioid-induced hyperalgesia. Although opioids remain a cornerstone of cancer pain management, they are not effective in all patients.^{5,6} Radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and surgery as part of the treatment strategy can themselves lead to the development of chronic pain. Keeping all this in mind, it can be quite challenging for physicians to provide optimal care for cancer patients.

To our knowledge, this is the first case report demonstrating the successful use of a continuous ESP block with an indwelling catheter in the management of severe pain from metastatic breast cancer to the thoracic wall. The catheter allowed continuous infusion and excellent analgesia to the patient until she succumbed to the disease. It also resulted in 80% reduction of intravenous opioids, and substantial improvement in her quality of life as reflected by the Edmonton Symptoms Assessment System. In the literature, the common interventional procedure for this refractory chest wall pain is a neuraxial catheter delivery system. We are able to show that continuous ESP infusion can also provide profound analgesia.

The ESP block is a newly described technique that is capable of providing effective and extensive thoracic analgesia.⁷ The use of ESP block in treating cancer pain (especially with neurolytics)^{8,9} and chronic non cancer pain^{10,11} has expanded its scope and potential. A recent magnetic resonance imaging study in living subjects showed that the local anesthetic injectate consistently spreads to the dorsal rami among the erector spinae muscle resulting in posterior thoracic and abdominal wall blockade. It also showed that the injectate consistently spreads to the neural foramina and into the intercostal space, contributing to a clinically meaningful



Figure 2. 19-gauge ESP catheter after subcutaneous tunneling and dressing. ESP indicates erector spinae plane.

sensory change and pain relief in the anterior (ventral) thoracic and abdominal walls. Nevertheless, the extent of spread to the neural foramina and intercostal spaces, and the sensory block itself, were highly variable.¹² The most significant advantages of the ESP block, in our opinion, is it is simple to perform—sonoanatomy is easily recognizable, less invasive, safe; there are no structures at risk of needle injury in the immediate vicinity, and can also be performed in patients who have certain contraindications to neuraxial procedures. The technique also lends itself well to insertion of an indwelling catheter, which can be used to extend the duration of analgesia as needed.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that continuous erector spinae block is a realistic and feasible option for patients with metastatic breast cancer pain refractory to conventional analgesic strategies. The ESP continuous infusion not only significantly reduces the high doses of opioids consumed it also minimizes the unwanted side effects

associated with opioids. More research needs to be done for the efficacy and safety of continuous ESP infusion in cancer pain management. ■■

DISCLOSURES

Name: V. V. Subramanian, MD, FICPM.

Contribution: This author helped draft the report.

Name: Philip Peng, MBBS, FRCPC.

Contribution: This author helped draft the report.

Name: Mauricio Forero, MD, FIPP.

Contribution: This author helped extract the data from the case file and draft the report.

This manuscript was handled by: BobbieJean Sweitzer, MD, FACP.

REFERENCES

1. Canadian Cancer Statistics Advisory Committee. *Canadian Cancer Statistics 2019*. Vol. 12. Canadian Cancer Society, 2019:35. <http://cancer.ca/Canadian-Cancer-Statistics-2019-EN>.
2. Chin KJ, Malhas L, Perlas A. The erector spinae plane block provides visceral abdominal analgesia in bariatric surgery: a report of 3 cases. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2017;42:372–376.
3. Watanabe SM, Nekolaichuk C, Beaumont C, Johnson L, Myers J, Strasser F. A multicenter study comparing two numerical versions of the Edmonton Symptom Assessment System in palliative care patients. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2011;41:456–468.
4. Scott-Warren J, Bhaskar A. Cancer pain management—part I: general principles. *Continuing Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain*. 2014;14:278–284.
5. Waldfogel JM, Nesbit S, Cohen SP, et al. Successful treatment of opioid-refractory cancer pain with short-course, low-dose ketamine. *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother*. 2016;18:28–32.
6. Davis MP. Acute pain in advanced cancer: an opioid dosing strategy and illustration. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care*. 2004;21:47–50.
7. Forero M, Adhikary SD, Lopez H, Tsui C, Chin KJ. The erector spinae plane block: a novel analgesic technique in thoracic neuropathic pain. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2016;41:621–627.
8. Hernández-Porras BC, Rocha A, Juarez AM. Phenol spread in erector spinae plane block for cancer pain. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2020;45:671.
9. Papa P, Antunez-Maciel M, Asenjo JF. Cancer shoulder pain treated with a neurolytic erector spinae plane block. *Can J Anaesth*. 2020;67:1262–1263.
10. Forero M, Rajarathinam M, Adhikary SD, Chin KJ. Erector spinae plane block for the management of chronic shoulder pain: a case report. *Can J Anaesth*. 2018;65:288–293.
11. Urits I, Charipova K, Gress K, et al. Expanding role of the erector spinae plane block for postoperative and chronic pain management. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2019;23:71.
12. Schwartzmann A, Peng P, Maciel MA, Alcarraz P, Gonzalez X, Forero M. A magnetic resonance imaging study of local anesthetic spread in patients receiving an erector spinae plane block. *Can J Anaesth*. 2020;67:942–948.